G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references
Mem 194-18
LR 395-19

1922
(March)

File No. 287-22

Serial Nos. 1. 2. 3. 4

Later references.
287-22-10-16
280-23-1-7
Rev. 110-39-1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Jans

Part B for February 23

SUBJECT

Re. Inspection Report of Jewels of Sri Krishna Devaru Idoli South Canara District.

The Revs Commr in Mysore
" Revenue Secretary to Govt
1102305-6/Encl
Revs 287-22-4 dt 16-2-23
Recorded with certain observations

Printed on the Multigraph.

27/3/23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 287-22.

FILE HEADING.

Inspection of jewels of the Sri Krishna Devaru temple at Udipi, South Canara District.

From

The Muzrai Commr in Mysore.

C. No. 548/22-23. Dated 9.1.23. Received 10.1.23.

Diary No. 1396	Enclos 8 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards certain reports received from the D.C. Shimoga re: the inspection of the jewels of the above temple and states that it is very necessary to have a complete list prepared of jewels presented by the Sovereigns or Govt of Mysore, that a copy of the list may be furnished to each of the 8 Udipi Mahts & the Swami in

NOTES AND ORDERS.

charge of the jewels asked to verify them with the list whenever there is a change in the Swamiships of the Mahts, once a year and send a report to the Deputy Commr about the result of the verification, & that if these reports are not regularly received or if any of the jewels shd be found missing, the D.C. may be asked to withhold the Sanads granted on Saadil to the Temples [illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 287 · of 1922-23.

Serial No.

In his previous letter dated 12th September 1922 to the Mysuru Comm^r the D.C. had stated that the jewels had probably been handed over to the Pattayadhavani of the Matt & he would make a further report on receipt of a reply from the Pattayadhavani to whom he had written in the matter. In his subsequent letter No. 87/Rev. 19-2 d. 30.10.22 to the same office he has stated that the Pattadhigan of Sri Pattinam Matt at Adiyi has acknowledged the receipt of the (1) Diamond Addike (2) necklace of pearls and emeralds from the Pejavar Matt.

The Mysuru Comm^r states that it is necessary to have an authoritative list of the jewels prepared by collecting information from the Palace Office & add it to that available in the Secretariat & by comparing the same with the available annual reports of inspection by the District officers from Shimoga & that a final list to be approved by Govt will have to be prepared on the available data.

Linked for orders with the previous file.

MW. 19.1.23. The report of the Mys Comr may be recorded and he may be asked to set up a list of Jewels presented by the Sovereigns of Mysore in consultation with the Dy Comr and the Palace people and take all

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 287 of 1922-23.

Serial No.

action in order to ensure the maintenance of the jewels in good order.

BG 18/1/23

SGM 19/1/23

2 From the Mewzrai Commr in Mysore. No. U.108 of 22-23 dt. 17.1.23:-

Forwards in continuation of dt. 1 copy of letter No. O.U.2131/Rev. 21-22 dt. 9.9.22 together with its enclosure submitting a further report on the inspection of the jewels presented by the Mysore Govt to the Alwar Temple which was conducted by the Assistant Commr, Shimoga Sub-Div, during April 1922, and states that the delay of nearly 5 months in forwarding the Asstt Commr's inspection report was due to the non-receipt of a reply to a repn made to the Tavanigadas of the present & previous Pargaps about the missing diamond studded necklace of pearls & emeralds, & that he would submit a further report after the replies of the Tavanis are received from the O.U.

Submd with a draft order as directed above.

MV. 23.1.23. BG 23/1/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 267 of 19 27

Serial No.

First Member) W/ 21/1/23

6161-846
29.1.23

The explanation of the A.C. for the delay in submitting his report is not satisfactory. He should have submitted his report immediately the inspection was over. Such further action as was indicated being also taken at the same time. This practice should be adhered to in future.

I remember that about three years ago there was some correspondence in the matter of a complete sentence of Jewels being made out. The file of 1921 post up has not the information that I want. Are there no files of 19 & 20 in the matter? I should like these traced & put up then.

Rees
30.1.23 W/ 31/1/23

Submitted with Murree file 794-18 & H.C. file 395-19 bearing on the above subject.
WW. para 4 added to bring out the
31.1.23. Order of the Hon'ble Member

RK
31.1.23
First Member) W/ 1/2/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Q.7.0.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwg. File No. 287 of 1922

Serial No.

6329-26
1.2.23

Seen. The reference now put up is what I wanted. Vide the M-Resp note of 17.3.20 & my minute of 19.3.20 dont approved. As there has been a change in the D's since I think it will be just as well to invite the attention of the present D.C. to my confidential D? letter of 10.4.20 into the M.C. to whom a copy of the confidential D? Reel letter may be sent for his information

2 2 23

Yes 2/2/23

Linked with a draft letter to the Mewar Govt. MWG.

3.2.23 3/2/23

2

3 No 2307 D dtd 3/2/23 to the Resr. Chitor.

Requests him to furnish list of jewels presented by Sovereigns of Rajputana and sent into Mewar to his office for record and also states that a complete inventory should be made at once to facilitate the inspection of jewels by the Rajputana Princes.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 287 of 1922

Serial No.	
4	No 2305-6 dt 16/2/23 to the Mar. Comm., & the Complr Records the Sls 1+2 and requests to send a report on the missing jewels and observes that the explanation in submitting the report is not satisfactory. by the Pol. Comm.
5	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C. 548 of 22-23
Encl 8

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, the 8th January 1923.

From

K.R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

10 JAN 1923

To

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

287-22-1

SRO 11/1/23

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the marginally noted

*D.O.letter No.87/19-20 of 1- 6-1920	reports received from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, regarding the inspection of jewels of Sri Krishnadevaru temple at Udupi, South Canara District.
-do- 87/19-20 24- 8-1920 with 2 enclosures,	
-do- 87/19-20 15- 2-1921	
-do- 87/19-20 8- 9-1922	
-do- 87/19-20 30-10-1922 with one enclosure.	

2. In this connection I beg to state that it is very necessary to have a complete authoritative list prepared of jewels presented by the Sovereigns or Government of Mysore, copies of the same being maintained in the Secretariat, Muzrai Commissioner's Office and in the Shimoga District Office. If such a list is not available in the Secretariat, the information available there and in the Palace Office will have to be put together and carefully compared with the available annual reports of inspection by the District Officers from Shimoga and finally a list to be approved by Government will have to be constructed on the available data.

3. A copy of the list may be furnished to each of the 8 Udupi Mathas and the Swamigalu in charge of the jewels should be asked to verify them with the list once a year and to send a report to the Deputy Commissioner about the result of the verification.

P.T.O.

4. Whenever there is a change in the Swamigalu of the Mutts, the new Swamigalu should send a report to the Deputy Commissioner (to be forwarded to Government with his remarks) that the jewels according to the list have been received charge by him from his predecessor.

5. If the annual report or the report on change of the Swamigalu is not regularly received or if it is received and it is found that any of the jewels are missing, the Deputy Commissioner may be asked to with-hold the payment of the sanctioned grant or Tasdik to the temple and report the facts for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

D.N.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 19th January 1923.

17th

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

1471

22 23.

To

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

287-22-2

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.O.C. 2131/Rev.21-22 dated 9th September 1922, together with its enclosure received from the Deputy Commissioner of the Shimoga District, -- reporting on the inspection of the jewels presented by the Mysore Government to the Sri Krishna Devaru temple at Udipi in the South Canara District, conducted by the Assistant Commissioner, Shimoga Sub Division, during April 1922.

2. The delay of nearly five months in forwarding the Assistant Commissioner's inspection report is stated to be due to the non-receipt of a reply to a reference made to the Swamigalu of the present and the previous Paryava about the missing diamond addike and necklace of pearls and emaralds. The Deputy Commissioner has been requested to remind the Swamy again and obtain an early reply which will be submitted on its receipt.

3. As regards the future inspection of these, I have already submitted my proposals in this office letter C.No.548/22-23 dated 9th January 1923 and I solicit early orders of Government in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

D.N.

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.O.C.2131/Rev.21-22, dated 10th January 1923, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, to the Muzrai Commissioner:-

---

"Adverting to your letter No.C.108 of 22-23 dated 13th September 1922 calling for explanation for the delay in submitting the Shimoga Sub Division Officer's report on the inspection of the Jewels of the Sri Krishna Devaru temple at Udapi, I have the honor to state that as the Sub Division Officer's report was silent on the point of having inspected the missing articles noted in the inspection report of 1920 viz., diamond addige, (2) necklace of pearls and emeralds, a reference was made to the Swamigalu of present and previous Paryaya mattas, as mentioned in para 2 of my letter No.O.C.2131 of 21-22 dated 8th -- September 1922.

2. In spite of reminders, the report was not received in time and consequently the report had to be submitted."

"Copy".

for Muzrai Commissioner. 17/1/23

D.N.

Letter No.H.C.580/Rev.21-22 dated the 18th April 1922 from the Assistant Commissioner, Shimoga Sub Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

As instructed in person I left Shimoga on the 12th instant for inspection of jewels belonging to Sri Krishna Devaru temple at Udapi, South Canara District. I halted there on the 13th, 14th and 15th April 1922 and inspected the jewels. The Palamara Swami is the present Paryaya Swami of the temple. This Swami has been in charge from January 1922. The present Swami has not taken possession of all the jewels of the temple. Only the jewels required for daily use have been given. The remaining jewels were with the Agent of the previous Paryaya Swami. The jewels which were with both the Swamis were inspected by me, with the help of the Register kept in the Tirthahalli Taluk. In this Register are included not only the jewels said to have been presented by the Mysore Government but also those presented by the other devotees. No permanent register containing all the jewels belonging to the temple has been maintained. The Paryaya Swami or his Agent grants a receipt to the outgoing Swami for the list of jewels given to his possession. But the swami who receives charge of the jewels is not in a position to know whether all the jewels belonging to the institution have been handed over to him. He holds himself responsible for the jewels that were given to him. It is therefore necessary that a Register should be maintained in the temple in which all the jewels and other articles belonging to the institution should be entered and the Swami receiving charge of the articles certify in this Register that all the jewels &c, mentioned in the Register have been received by him.

2. It was noticed during the inspection that some of the jewels in disrepair have been converted into some other jewels but this has not been noted in the Register maintained in the Taluk

Office

Office at Tirthahal ome years hence it will be difficult to trace out the old jewels converted into new jewels if the entries are not made in the Taluk Register.

3. Many of the valuable jewels of this temple are not being carefully handled by the temple servants. Owing to rough handling some of the rubies and diamonds have dropped down and those that were picked up are kept separately in a packet. This accounts for the missing of rubies and diamonds from the jewels. There appears no reason to doubt that the missing rubies &c, have been as pilfered by the temple servants.

4. Certain defects observed in some jewels at the time of last inspection have been rectified as noted below:-

(1) The missing diamond in the Bhujakirthi has been replaced.

(2) It is not known when the gold-plate in the Prabhamandala was torn away and so it is in the same condition.

(3) The diamond in the Padaka of the Kartesara was found intact

5. As regards the articles said not to have been handed over by the previous Swami.

(1) Only one Muguli of the palanquin was found missing. It is difficult to trace out when it was missed.

(2) All the Mangalarathi Karivanas have been handed over to the present Swami.

(3) Silver Kalkavacha and Udigajji of Garudadevaru have been given

(4) All the Salakarathi of the Pranadevaru are in use now.

(5) The Sarpaphana of the Prabha Mandala of Pranadevaru is not forthcoming. The temple authorities said that there was not "Sarpaphana" for the Utsava Prabha Mandala of the Prana devaru and that Prabha Mandala of the Utsavamurthi of Sri Krishna only had one as this I saw during my inspectio

6. Kirita said to have been given to the possession of Puttigi Sripadanagalvaru by the Kanur Swami in the year 1918-19 has not been returned to this temple.

7. The cash grant due by the Mysore Government is being

regularly

regularly paid to the temple from the Taluk Treasury at Tirthahalli. From enquiry it was ascertained that the Pujas were regularly performed in the temple and the grants made by the Mysore Government were appropriated for the intended purposes. Every day a large number of Brahamins (about 600) are fed in the temple.

8. Besides the enjoyment of an annual cash grant of Rs 6821-8-0 sandal wood grant of 4 kandies, 5 maunds 28 seers and 16 tolas is allowed to this temple, but sandal wood has not yet been given for the present and the previous Swamis. A separate reference will be made to the Deputy Commissioner about this.

' C o p y '

for Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

G.V./

SUBJECT Requests the Muzrai Commr" to invite the attention of the A. G. Chingam in the matter of the preparation of a complete list of the jewels presented by the Magasam Govt to Sri Krishna Swamy temple at Udipi, South Canara D.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1471 of 22 -23.

Section Mer

File No. 287-22

Serial No. 5

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

No. 2307 one

Date of issue 16-2-23

Signed by Mr T. E. J. Nigar

To

The Muzrai Commr" in Magasam

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for your information a copy of this office (dumb") A. O. letter No. 3501/Muz. 794-18-2, addressed to the A. G. Chingam dated 10th April 1920, regarding the inspection of the jewellery of the Sri Krishna Swamy temple at Udipi in the South Canara D" and to request that my

over.

now will be so good as to invite
the attention of the present Commr.
of that District to the D.O. in ques-
tion and to request him to obtain
the list maintained in the temple
of all the jewels presented by His
late Highness the late Maharaja
Yuvi Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III
and other Sovereigns of Mysore & have copies of the same made
a copy of or reads & kept in his
office and also to (see that) take
action (is taken by him) prompt
action in the matter of the prepa-
ration of a complete inventory of the
jewels & other articles presented by the
Mysore Court to the Temple in ques-
tion so as to facilitate their periodical
inspection by officers of the Mysore Govt.
I have etc.,

one copy being sent
to this Office for record.
A complete inventory
should be made at
once so as to facil-
itate the inspection of Jewels
by the Mysore Officers

List of enclosures.

Yours very truly

Copied by

Examined by

5/2/23

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

SUBJECT Records the Muzrai Commr's reports regarding the inspection of the jewels of the Sri Krishna Bhavan temple at Udipi, South Canara Dt, and requests him to get up a complete list of the jewels presented to the temple by the Mysore Yadhu Sovereigns

Diary No. of letter replied to 1396/1471422.

Section Jmr

File No. 287-22

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 16-2-23

Signed by Mr. T. E. J Bryce

Read—Letters Nos. 45481422-2944. 108 of 22-23 dated 9th & 17th Jany 1923 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore forwarding certain reports received from the DC Commr, Shimoga Dt, reporting on the inspection of

Order Thereon No.

the jewels belonging to the Sri Krishna Bhavan temple at Udipi, South Canara Dt, and stating that the DC Commr, Shimoga has been

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the ~~Gazette~~, Kannada ~~Part~~ ?

Folio 2

requested to obtain information from the present and the previous Parryaya Swamis of the Udipi Matt regarding ~~the~~ certain jewels, which are said to be missing ~~and necklace of pearls and emeralds,~~ and further stating that it is very necessary to have a complete list of the jewels presented by the Sovereigns on the Gaut of Mysore prepared, ~~that a~~ copies of the same ~~of the list may~~ be furnished to each of the Udipi Matts and the Swamis in charge of the jewels asked to verify them with the list once a years and also whenever there is a change in the Swamis of the Matts and send a report to the Dy Commr about the result of the verification, and that if these reports are not regularly received, or if any of the jewels should be found missing, the Dy Commr may be asked to withhold the Sanctd grant on Pasdik & the Temple and report the matter for the orders of Govt.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Govt Order No. 2305-6 Ecc dated 16-2-23.
Mer 287-22-4

Recorded.

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—21-12 21

G.R.S.[illegible]27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

2. The Manzrai Commr is requested to submit an early report in the matter of the missing jewels of the temple after obtaining the required information from the Swamis concerned.

3. As regards the future inspection of the temple jewels, the Manzrai Commr is requested to have a list prepared of all the jewels presented to the temple by the Sovereigns of Mysore in consultation with the Palace Dept and the DC Commr of the Shimoga Dt, and to take all necessary steps so as to ensure the maintenance of the jewels in a satisfactory condition.

4. Govt observe that the explanation of the Archl Commr for the delay in submitting his report is not satisfactory. He should have submitted his report immediately the inspection was over, such further action as was indicated being also taken at the same time. This practice should be adhered to in future.

4/11/23

Secretary to Govt, Revenue Dept.

28/11/23

To

The Manzrai Commr in Mysore with the enclosures received with his letter.

The Comptroller to print.